

MARTIN R. BADER (*Pro hac vice*)
mbader@sheppardmullin.com
JESSE A. SALEN (*Pro hac vice*)
jsalen@sheppardmullin.com
SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100
San Diego, California 92130-4092
Telephone: 858.720.8900

PATRICK MCGILL (*Pro hac vice*)
patrick@mcgillco.com
MCGILL & CO., P.C.
5580 La Jolla Blvd, Suite 39
La Jolla, CA 92037
Tel: (619) 974-8886

Nathan D. Thomas (USB #11965)
Elizabeth M. Butler (USB #13658)
PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER
201 South Main Street, Suite 1800
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: (801) 532-1234
nthomas@parsonsbehle.com
lbutler@parsonsbehle.com

Attorneys for Defendant BlendJet Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION**

BLENDTEC INC., a Utah corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BLENDJET INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
SIXTH AMENDED SCHEDULING
ORDER**

Civil No. 2:21-cv-00668-TC-DBP

Judge Tena Campbell

Magistrate Judge Dustin B. Pead

The Court having read and considered the stipulated Sixth Amended Scheduling Order, and for good cause shown, hereby ORDERS that the following matters are scheduled and may not be changed without Court approval:

Date per Fifth Amended Scheduling Order (ECF 190)	Stipulated New Date	Event
November 13, 2024	February 13, 2025	Rule 26(a)(2) Expert disclosures (subject and identity of experts) – parties bearing the burden of proof
November 20, 2024	February 27, 2025	Close of fact discovery
November 20, 2024	February 27, 2025	Rule 26(a)(2) Expert disclosures (subject and identity of experts) – counter disclosures
December 18, 2024	March 18, 2025	Rule 26(a)(2) Expert Reports – parties bearing burden of proof
February 10, 2025	May 15, 2025	Rule 26(a)(2) Expert Reports – counter reports
March 20, 2025	June 25, 2025	Last day for expert discovery
April 18, 2025	July 25, 2025	Deadline for filing dispositive or potentially dispositive motions including <i>Daubert</i> motions to exclude expert testimony
April 25, 2025	July 31, 2025	Evaluate case for settlement/ADR

If dispositive motions are filed and the district judge's ruling on those motions does not resolve the case, the parties shall file a request for a scheduling conference with the district judge for the purpose of setting a trial date no later than one week after the ruling on the dispositive motions.

All other provisions of the prior Scheduling Orders entered in this case (ECF No. 25, ECF No. 28, ECF No. 35, ECF No. 90, ECF No. 184, and ECF 190) not modified above shall remain the same.

DATED: _____, 2024,

BY THE COURT:

U.S. Magistrate Judge Dustin B. Pead